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1. Introduction

1.1 How this proposal evolved

ML is a strongly typed functional programming language, which has been used by a number of people for serious work during the last few years [1]. At the same time HOPE, designed by Rod Burstall and his group, has been similarly used [2]. The original DEC-10 ML was incomplete in some ways, redundant in others. Some of these inadequacies were remedied by Cardelli in his VAX version; others could be put right by importing ideas from HOPE.

In April '83, prompted by Bernard Sufrin, I wrote a tentative proposal to consolidate ML, and while doing so became convinced that this consolidation was possible while still keeping its character. The main strengthening came from generalising the "varstructs" of ML - the patterns of formal parameters - to the patterns of HOPE, which are extendible by the declaration of new data types. Many people immediately discussed the initial proposal. It was extremely lucky that we managed to have several separate discussions, in large and small groups, in the few succeeding months; we could not have chosen a better time to do the job. Also, Luca Cardelli very generously offered to freeze his detailed draft ML manual [3] until this proposal was worked out.

The proposal went through a second draft, on which there were further discussions. The results of these discussions were of two kinds. First, it became clear that two areas were still contentious: input/output and facilities for separate compilation. Second, many points were brought up about the remaining core of the language, and these were almost all questions of fine detail. The conclusion was rather clear; it was obviously better to present at first a definition of a Core language without the two contentious areas. This course is further justified by the fact that the Core language appears to be almost completely unaffected by the choice of input/output primitives and of separate compilation constructs. Also, there are already strong and carefully considered proposals, from Cardelli and MacQueen respectively, on how to design these two vital facilities; together with the Core they will form a complete language definition which can be adopted in its entirety, while still leaving open the possibility of adopting only parts of it. But the strong hope is that the whole will be very widely accepted.

A third draft [4] of the Core language was discussed in detail in a three-day design meeting at Edinburgh in June '84, attended by nine of the people mentioned below; some final points were ironed out, and the present Standard is the outcome. The meeting also looked in detail at the MacQueen Modules proposal and the Cardelli input/output proposal, and agreed on the essentials of these facilities to be embodied soon in a working definition.

The main contributors to the proposed language, through their design work on ML and on HOPE, are:

Rod Burstall, Luca Cardelli, Michael Gordon, David MacQueen,
Robin Milner, Lockwood Morris, Malcolm Newey, Christopher Wadsworth.

The final proposal also owes much to criticisms and suggestions from many other people: Guy Cousineau, Jim Hook, Gerard Huet, Robert Milne, Kevin Mitchell, Brian Monahan, Peter Mosses, Alan Mycroft, Larry Paulson, David Rydeheard, Don Sannella, David Schmidt, John Scott, Stefan Sokolowski, Bernard Sufrin, Philip Wadler. Most of them have expressed strong support for most of the design; any inadequacies which remain are my fault, but I have tried to represent the consensus.
1.2 Design principles

The proposed ML is not intended to be the functional language. There are too many degrees of freedom for such a thing to exist: lazy or eager evaluation, presence or absence of references and assignment, whether and how to handle exceptions, types-as-parameters or polymorphic type-checking, and so on. Nor is the language or its implementation meant to be a commercial product. It aims to be a means for propagating the craft of functional programming and a vehicle for further research into the design of functional languages.

The over-riding design principle is to restrict the Core language to ideas which are simple and well-understood, and also well-tried - either in previous versions of ML or in other functional languages (the main other source being HOPE, mainly for its argument-matching constructs). One effect of this principle has been the omission of polymorphic references and assignment. There is indeed an elegant and sound scheme for polymorphic assignment worked out by Luis Damas; unfortunately it is not yet documented, and we will do better to wait for a clear exposition either from Damas or - as promised - from David MacQueen. In the proposed language much can be done to get the polymorphic effect by passing assignment functions as parameters; it is worthwhile experimenting with this method, and there is further advantage in keeping to the simple polymorphic type-checking discipline which derives from Curry's Combinatory Logic via Hindley.

A second design principle is to generalise well-tried ideas where the generalisation is apparently natural. This has been applied in generalising ML "varstructs" to HOPE patterns, in broadening the structure of declarations (following Cardelli's declaration connectives which go back to Robert Milne's Ph.D. Thesis) and in allowing exceptions which carry values of arbitrary polymorphic type. It should be pointed out here that a difficult decision had to be made concerning HOPE's treatment of data types - present only in embryonic form in the original ML - and the labelled records and variants which Cardelli introduced in his VAX version. The latter have definite advantages which the former lack; on the other hand, the HOPE treatment is well-rounded in its own terms. Though a combination of these features is possible, it seemed (at least to me, but some disagreed!) to entail too rich a language for the present definition. Thus the HOPE treatment is fully adopted here. However, at the design meeting of June '84 it was agreed to experiment with at least two different ways of adding labelled records to the Core as a smooth extension, and to adopt one of these schemes as standard in the near future.

A third principle is to specify the language completely, so that programs will port between correct implementations with minimum fuss. This entails, first, precise concrete syntax (abstract syntax is in some senses more important - but we do not all have structure editors yet, and humans still communicate among themselves in concrete syntax!); second, it entails exact evaluation rules (e.g. we must specify the order of evaluation of two expressions, one applied to the other, just because of the exception mechanism). The present document is not a full language definition; the Core language will only become a full language when the proposals for input/output and for separate compilation are added.
1.3 An example

The following declaration illustrates some constructs of the Core language. A longer expository paper should contain many more examples; here, we hope only to draw attention to some of the less familiar ideas.

The example sets up the abstract type 'a dictionary, in which each entry associates an item (of arbitrary type 'a) with a key (an integer). Besides the null dictionary, the operations provided are for looking up a key, and for adding a new entry which overrides any old entry with the same key. A natural representation is by a list of key-item pairs, ordered by key.

\[
\text{abstype } 'a \text{ dictionary } = \\
\text{data } \text{dict of (int * 'a)list} \\
\text{with} \\
\text{val nulldict } = \text{dict nil} \\
\text{exception lookup : unit} \\
\text{val lookup (key:int).} \\
\quad (\text{dict entrylist}) : 'a = \\
\quad \text{let val rec search nil } = \text{raise lookup} \\
\quad \quad \quad | \text{search ((k,item)::entries) } = \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{if key=k then item} \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{else if key<k then raise lookup} \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{else search entries} \\
\quad \text{in search entrylist} \\
\text{end} \\
\text{val enter (newentry as (key,item))} \\
\quad (\text{dict entrylist}) : 'a \text{ dictionary } = \\
\quad \text{let val rec update nil } = [\text{newentry}] \\
\quad \quad \quad | \text{update ((entry as (k,_))::entries) } = \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \text{if key=k then newentry::entries} \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{else if key<k then newentry::entry::entries} \\
\quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \text{else entry::update entries} \\
\quad \text{in dict(update entrylist)} \\
\text{end} \\
\]

After the declaration is evaluated, five identifier bindings are reported, and recorded in the top-level environment. They consist of the type binding of dictionary, the exception binding of lookup, and three value bindings with their types:

\[
nulldict : 'a \text{ dictionary} \\
\text{lookup : int }\rightarrow 'a \text{ dictionary }\rightarrow 'a \\
\text{enter : int * 'a }\rightarrow 'a \text{ dictionary }\rightarrow 'a \text{ dictionary} \\
\]

The layered pattern construct "as" was first introduced in HOPE, and yields both brevity and efficiency. The discerning reader may be able to find one further use for it in the declaration.

Note: the abtype construct is in the Core language for completeness, but is likely to be subsumed by Modules.
2. The bare language

2.1 Discussion

It is convenient to present the language first in a bare form, containing enough on which to base the semantic description given in Section 3. Things omitted from the bare language description are:

(1) Derived syntactic forms, whose meaning derives from their equivalent forms in the bare language (Section 6);
(2) Directives for introducing infix identifier status (Section 4);
(3) Standard bindings (Section 5);
(4) References and equality (Section 7);
(5) Type-checking (Section 9).

The principal syntactic objects are expressions and declarations. The composite expression forms are application, type constraint, tupling, raising and handling exceptions, local declaration (using `let`) and function abstraction.

Another important syntactic class is the class of patterns; these are essentially expressions containing only variables and value constructors, and are used to create value bindings. Declarations may declare value variables (using value bindings), types with associated constructors or operations (using type bindings), and exceptions (using exception bindings). Apart from this, one declaration may be local to another (using `local`), and a sequence of declarations is allowed as a single declaration.

An ML program is a series of declarations, called top-level declarations,

```
dec1; .. decn;
```

each terminated by a semicolon (where each deci is not itself of the form "deci; deci"). In evaluating a program, the bindings created by dec1 are reported before dec2 is evaluated, and so on. In the complete language, an expression occurring in place of any deci is an abbreviated form (see Section 6.2) for a declaration binding the expression value to the variable "it"; such expressions are called top-level expressions.

The bare syntax is in Section 2.8 below; first we consider lexical matters.

2.2 Reserved words

The following are the reserved words used in the Core language. They may not (except `=`) be used as identifiers. In this document the alphabetic reserved words are always underlined.

```
abstype and also as case do data else end exception fun handle if in infix
infixr let local nonfix of op orelse raise rec then type val with while

( ) [ ] , : ; | || = => _ ?
```
2.3 Special constants

The unique object of type unit is denoted by the special constant ().

An integer constant is any non-empty sequence of digits, possibly preceded by a negation symbol (~).

A real constant is an integer constant, possibly followed by a point (.) and one or more digits, possibly followed by an exponent symbol (E) and an integer constant; at least one of the optional parts must occur, hence no integer constant is a real constant. Examples: 0.7, \~3.32E5, 3E7. Non-examples: 23, .3, 4E5, 1E2.0.

A string constant is a sequence of zero or more printable characters or spaces enclosed between quotes ("), but within which any quote symbol is preceded by the escape character \. Use of \ in strings also has meaning as follows:

\A A single character interpreted by the system as end-of-line
\T Tab
\c The control character c, for any appropriate c
\d The single character with ASCII code d (3 decimal digits)
\c The character c, in all cases not covered above

2.4 Identifiers

Identifiers are used to stand for five different syntax classes which, if we had a large enough character set, would be disjoint:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>value variables</th>
<th>(var)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>value constructors</td>
<td>(con)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type variables</td>
<td>(tyvar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>type constructors</td>
<td>(tycon)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exception identifiers</td>
<td>(exid)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

An identifier is either alphanumeria: any sequence of letters, digits, primes (') and underbars (_) starting with a letter or prime, or symbolic: any sequence of the following symbols

! % & * $ - / : < = > ? @ \ ^ _ | 

In either case, however, reserved words are excluded. This means that for example ? and ! are not identifiers, but ?? and !?= are identifiers. The only exception to this rule is that the symbol =, which is a reserved word, is also allowed as an identifier to stand for the equality predicate (see Section 7.2). The identifier = may not be rebound; this precludes any syntactic ambiguity.

A type variable (tyvar) may be any alphanumerid identifier starting with a prime. The other four classes (var, con, tycon, exid) are represented by identifiers not starting with a prime. Thus type variables are disjoint from the other four classes. Otherwise, the syntax class of an occurrence of identifier id is determined thus:

(1) In types, id is a type constructor, and must be within the scope of the type binding which introduced it.

(2) Following exception, raise or handle id is an exception identifier.
(3) Elsewhere, \textit{id} is a value constructor if it occurs in the scope of a type binding which introduced it as such, otherwise it is a value variable.

It follows from (3) that no value binding can make a hole in the scope of a value constructor by introducing the same identifier as a variable, since this identifier must stand for the constructor in any pattern which lies in the scope of the type declaration by which this constructor was introduced. In fact, by means of a syntactic restriction (see Section 10(8)), we ensure that the scopes of a type constructor and of its associated value constructors are identical.

The syntax-classes \texttt{var}, \texttt{con}, tycon and \texttt{exid} all depend on which bindings are in force, but only the classes \texttt{var} and \texttt{con} are necessarily disjoint. The context determines (as described above) to which class each identifier occurrence belongs.

In the Core language, an identifier may be given infix status by the \texttt{infix} or \texttt{infixr} directive; this status only pertains to its use as a \texttt{var} or a \texttt{con}. If \textit{id} has infix status, then "\texttt{exp1 id exp2}" (resp. "\texttt{pat1 id pat2}"") may occur wherever the application "\texttt{id(exp1,exp2)}" (resp. "\texttt{id(pat1,pat2)}") would otherwise occur. On the other hand, non-infixed occurrences of \textit{id} must be prefixed by the keyword "\texttt{op}". Infix status is cancelled by the \texttt{nonfix} directive.

2.5 Comments

A comment is any character sequence within curly brackets \{\} in which curly brackets are properly nested. Any unmatched \} is faulted by the compiler.

2.6 Lexical analysis

Each item of lexical analysis is either a reserved word or a special constant or an identifier; comments and non-visible characters separate items (except spaces within string constants) and are otherwise ignored. At each stage the longest next item is taken.

As a consequence of this simple approach, spaces - or parentheses - are needed sometimes to separate identifiers and reserved words. Two examples are

\begin{align*}
\text{a:= !b} & \quad \text{or} \quad \text{a:=(!b)} \quad \text{but not} \quad \text{a:=!b} \\
\text{->int} & \quad \text{or} \quad \text{(-):int->int} \quad \text{but not} \quad \text{->int} \\
\end{align*}

(assigning contents of \texttt{b} to \texttt{a})

(unary minus qualified by its type)

Rules which allow omission of spaces in such examples, such as adopted by Cardelli in VAX ML, also forbid certain symbol sequences as identifiers and - more importantly - are hard to remember; it seems better to keep a simple scheme and tolerate a few extra spaces or parentheses.

2.7 Delimiters

Not all constructs have a terminating reserved word; this would be verbose. But a compromise has been adopted; \texttt{end} terminates any construct which declares bindings with local scope. This involves only the \texttt{let}, \texttt{local} and \texttt{abstype} constructs.
2.8 The bare syntax

Conventions: {...} means optional.
For any syntax class s, define s_seq ::= s
(s1, ..., sn) (n≥1)
Alternatives are in order of decreasing precedence.
L (resp. R) means left (resp. right) association.
Parentheses may enclose phrases of any named syntax class.

**EXPRESSIONS**

exp ::= var
      (variable)
    | con
      (constructor)
    | ( exp )

exp ::= aexp
      (atomic)
    | exp aexp
      (application)
    | exp : ty
      (constraint)
    | exp1, ..., expn
      (tuple, n≥2)
    | raise exid wth exp
      (raise exc, n)
    | let dec in exp end
      (local dec' n)
    | exp handle handler
      (handle exc's)
    | fun match
      (function)

**PATTERNS**

pat ::= -
      (wildcard)
    | var
      (variable)
    | con
      (constant)
    | ( pat )

pat ::= apat
      (atomic)
    | con apat
      (construction)
    | pat : ty
      (constraint)
    | var{ ty } as pat
      (layered)
    | pat1, ..., patn
      (tuple, n≥2)

**VALUE BINDINGS**

vb ::= pat = exp
     (simple)
    | vb1 and ... and vbn
     (multiple, n≥2)
    | req vb
     (recursive)

**TYPE BINDINGS**

tb ::= { tyvar_seq } tycoon
     = data constrs
     (simple)
    | { tyvar_seq } tycoon
     = ty
     (simple)
    | tb1 and ... and tbn
     (multiple, n≥2)
    | req tb
     (recursive)

constrs ::= con{ of ty1 } | .. | con{ of tyn }

**EXCEPTION BINDINGS**

eb ::= exid{ : ty }={ exid' } (simple)
eb1 and ... and ebnn (multiple, n≥2)

**TYPES**

ty ::= tyvar
     (type variable)
    | { ty_seq } tycoon
     (type constr'n)
    | ty1 * ... * tyn
     (tuple type, n≥2)
    | ty -> ty'
     (R(function type)

The syntax of types binds more tightly than that of expressions, so type constraints should be parenthesized if not followed by a reserved word.

Each iterated construct (tuple, match, ..) extends as far right as possible; thus e.g. a match within a match may need to be parenthesized.
3. Evaluation

3.1 Environments and Values

Evaluation of phrases takes place in the presence of an ENVIRONMENT and a STORE. An ENVIRONMENT E has two components: a value environment VE associating values to variables and to value constructors, and an exception environment EE associating exceptions to exception identifiers. A STORE S associates values to references, which are themselves values. (A third component of an environment, a type environment TE, is ignored here since it is relevant only to type-checking and compilation, not to evaluation.)

An exception e, associated to an exception identifier exid in any exception environment, is an object drawn from an infinite set (the nature of e is immaterial, but see Section 3.8). A packet p=(e,v) is an exception e paired with a value v, called the excepted value. Neither exceptions nor packets are values. Besides possibly changing S (by assignment), evaluation of a phrase returns a result as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phrase</th>
<th>Result</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expression</td>
<td>v or p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value binding</td>
<td>VE or p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type binding</td>
<td>VE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exception binding</td>
<td>EE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declaration</td>
<td>E or p</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For every phrase except a handle expression, whenever its evaluation demands the evaluation of an immediate subphrase which returns a packet p as result, no further evaluation of subphrases occurs and p is also the result of the phrase. This rule should be remembered while reading the evaluation rules below.

A function value f is a partial function which, given a value, may return a value or a packet; it may also change the store as a side-effect. Every other value is either a constant (a nullary constructor), a construction (a constructor with a value), a tuple or a reference.

3.2 Environment manipulation

We may write ⟨(id1,v1) .. (idn,vn)⟩ for a value environment VE (the idi being distinct). Then VE(idi) denotes vi, ⟨⟩ is the empty value environment, and VE+VE' means the value environment in which the associations of VE' supersede those of VE. Similarly for exception environments. If E=(VE,EE) and E'=(VE',EE'), then E+EE means (VE+VE',EE+EE'), E+VE' means E+(VE',⟨⟩), etc. This implies that an identifier may be associated both in VE and in EE without conflict.

3.3 Matching patterns

The matching of a pattern pat to a value v either fails or yields a value environment. Failure is distinct from returning a packet, but a packet will be returned when all patterns fail in applying a match to a value (see Section 3.4). In the following rules, if any component pattern fails to match then the whole pattern fails to match.
The following is the effect of matching a pattern pat to a value v, in each of the cases for pat:

- : the empty value environment <> is returned.

var : the value environment <(var,v)> is returned.

con{pat} : if v = con{v'} then pat is matched to v', else failure.

var{ty} as pat : pat is matched to v returning VE; then <(var,v)>+VE is returned.

pat1, ..., patn : if v=(v1, ..., vn) then pati is matched to vi returning VEi, for each i; then VE1+ ..+VEn is returned.

pat:ty : pat is matched to v.

3.4 Applying a match

Assume environment E. Applying a match pat1=>exp1| ..|patn=>expn to value v returns a value or packet as follows:

Each pati is matched to v in turn, from left to right, until one succeeds returning VEi; then expi is evaluated in E+VEi. If none succeeds, then the packet (ematch,()) is returned, where ematch is the standard exception bound by predeclaration to the exception identifier "match". But matches which may fail are to be detected by the compiler and flagged with a warning; see Section 10(2).

Thus, for each E, a match denotes a function value.

3.5 Evaluation of expressions

Assume environment E=(VE,EE). Evaluating an expression exp returns a value or packet as follows, in each of the cases for exp:

var : the value VE(var) is returned.

con : the value VE(con) is returned.

exp aexp : exp is evaluated, returning function value f; then aexp is evaluated, returning value v; then f(v) is returned.

exp1, ..., expn : the expi are evaluated in sequence, from left to right, returning vi respectively; then (v1, ..., vn) is returned.

raise exid with exp : exp is evaluated, returning value v; then packet (e,v) is returned, where e = EE(exid).

exp handle handler : exp is evaluated; if exp returns a value v, then v is returned; if it returns a packet p = (e,v) then the handling rules of the handler are scanned from left to right until a rule is found which satisfies one of two conditions:
3.6 Evaluation of value bindings

Assume environment \( E = (VE, EE) \). Evaluating a value binding \( vb \) returns a value environment \( VE' \) or a packet as follows, by cases of \( vb \):

\[
\text{pat} = \text{exp} : \text{exp} \text{ is evaluated in } E, \text{ returning value } v; \text{ then pat is matched to } v; \text{ if this returns } VE', \text{ then } VE' \text{ is returned, and if it fails then the packet (ebind(),) is returned, where ebind is the standard exception bound by prededication to the exception identifier "bind".}
\]

\[
\text{vb1 and ... and vbn} : \text{vb1, ..., vbn are evaluated in } E \text{ from left to right, returning } \text{VE1, ..., VEn; then } \text{VE1+ ...+VEn is returned.}
\]

\[
\text{rec} vb : \text{vb is evaluated in } E', \text{ returning } \text{VE'}, \text{ where } E' = (VE+VE', EE). \text{ Because the values bound by evaluating } \text{vb must be function values (Section 10(4)), } E' \text{ is well defined by "tying knots" (Landin).}
\]

3.7 Evaluation of type bindings

The components \( VE \) and \( EE \) of the current environment do not affect the evaluation of type bindings (\( TE \) affects their type checking and compilation). Evaluating a type binding \( tb \) returns a value environment \( VE' \) (it cannot return a packet) as follows, by cases of \( tb \):

\[
\{\text{tyvar_seq}\} \text{tycon = data}\ \text{con1 of ty1} | \ldots | \text{conn of tyn} : \text{the value environment } VE' = \langle \text{con1, v1}, \ldots, \text{conn, vn} \rangle \text{ is returned, where vi is either the constant value coni (if "of tyi" is absent) or else the function which maps v to coni(v). Note that all other effect of this type binding is handled by the compiler or type-checker, not by evaluation.}
\]

\[
\{\text{tyvar_seq}\} \text{tycon = ty : the value environment } VE' = VE \text{ is returned. This type binding has no effect on evaluation; its purpose, in the Core language, is merely to provide an abbreviation for a compound type.}
\]

\[
\text{tb1 and ... and tbn} : \text{tb1, ..., tbn are evaluated from left to right, returning } \text{VE1, ..., VEn; then } \text{VE' = VE1+ ...+VEn is returned.}
\]
3.8 Evaluation of exception bindings

Assume environment \( E = (VE, EE) \). The evaluation of an exception binding \( eb \) returns an exception environment \( EE' \) as follows, by cases of \( eb \):

\[
\text{exid \{ty\} = exid'} : EE' = \langle (\text{exid}, e) \rangle \text{ is returned, where}
\]

1. if \( \text{exid}' \) is present then \( e = EE(\text{exid}') \); this is a non-generative exception binding since it merely re-binds an existing exception;
2. otherwise \( e \) is a previously unused exception (an object from which the identifier \( \text{exid} \) is retrievable, for reporting unhandled exceptions at top-level); this is a generative exception binding.

\( eb1 \) and .. and \( ebn \) : \( eb1, \ldots, ebn \) are evaluated in \( E \) from left to right, returning \( EE1, \ldots, EEn \); then \( EE' = EE1 + \ldots + EEn \) is returned.

3.9 Evaluation of declarations

Assume environment \( E = (VE, EE) \). Evaluating a declaration \( \text{dec} \) returns an environment \( E' \) or a packet as follows, by cases of \( \text{dec} \):

\( \text{val vb} \) : \( vb \) is evaluated, returning \( VE' \); then \( E' = (VE', \langle \rangle) \) is returned.

\( \text{type tb} \) : \( tb \) is evaluated, returning \( VE' \); then \( E' = (VE', \langle \rangle) \) is returned.

\( \text{abstype \ tb \ with \ dec \ end} \) :
\( tb \) is evaluated, returning \( VE' \); then \( \text{dec} \) is evaluated in \( E + VE' \), returning \( E' \); then \( E' \) is returned.

\( \text{exception \ eb} \) : \( eb \) is evaluated, returning \( EE' \); then \( E' = (\langle \rangle, EE') \) is returned.

\( \text{local \ dec1 \ in \ dec2 \ end} \) :
\( \text{dec1} \) is evaluated, returning \( E1 \), then \( \text{dec2} \) is evaluated in \( E + E1 \), returning \( E2 \); then \( E' = E2 \) is returned.

\( \text{dec1} \{\} \ldots \text{decn} \{\} \) :
each \( \text{dec1} \) is evaluated in \( E + E1 + \ldots + E(i-1) \), returning \( Ei \), for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \); then \( E' = (\langle \rangle, \langle \rangle) + E1 + \ldots + En \) is returned. Thus when \( n = 0 \) the empty environment is returned.

Each declaration is defined to return only the new environment which it makes, but the effect of a declaration sequence is to accumulate environments.

3.10 Evaluation of programs

The evaluation of a program "\text{dec1 ; \ldots \text{decn} ;}" takes place in the initial presence of the standard top-level environment \( ENV0 \) containing all the standard bindings (see Section 5). The top-level environment \( ENV1 \), present after the evaluation of \( \text{dec1} \) in the program, is defined recursively as follows: \( \text{dec1} \) is evaluated in \( ENV(i-1) \) returning environment \( Ei \), and then \( ENV1 = ENV(i-1) + Ei \).
4. Directives

Directives are included in ML as (syntactically) a subclass of declarations. They possess scope, as do all declarations.

There is only one kind of directive in the standard language, namely those concerning the infix status of value variables and constructors. Others, perhaps also concerned with syntactic conventions, may be included in extensions of the language. The directives concerning infix status are:

\[
\text{infix} \{r|l\} \{p\} \text{id}_1 \ldots \text{id}_n \\
\text{nonfix} \text{id}_1 \ldots \text{id}_n
\]

where \( p \) is a non-negative integer. The \textit{infix} and \textit{infixr} directives introduce an infix status for each \text{id} (as a value variable or constructor), and the \textit{nonfix} directive cancels it. The integer \( p \) (default 0) determines the precedence, an infixed identifier associates to the left if introduced by \textit{infix}, to the right if by \textit{infixr}. Different infixed identifiers of equal precedence associate to the left.

While \text{id} has infix status, each occurrence of it (as a value variable or constructor) must be infixed or else preceded by \textit{or}; note that this includes such occurrences within patterns, even within the patterns of a match.

Several standard functions and constructors have infix status (see Appendix 3) with precedence; these are all left associative except ":=".

It may be thought better that the infix status of a variable or constructor should be established in some way within its binding occurrence, rather than by a separate directive. However, the use of directives avoids problems of parsing.

The use of local directives (\textit{introduce} by \textit{let} or \textit{local}) imposes on the parser the burden of determining their textual scope. A quite superficial analysis is enough for this purpose, due to the use of \textit{end} to delimit local scopes.
5. Standard bindings

The bindings of this section constitute the standard top-level environment ENV0.

5.1 Standard type constructors

The bare language provides the function-type constructor, \( \rightarrow \), and for each \( n \geq 2 \) a tuple-type constructor \( \ast n \). Type constructors are in general postfixed in ML, but \( \rightarrow \) is infixed, and the \( n \)-ary tuple-type constructed from \( t y_1, \ldots, t y_n \) is written \( "t y_1 \ast \ldots \ast t y_n" \). Besides these type constructors, the following are standard:

- **Type constants** (nullary constructors) : unit, bool, int, real, string
- **Unary type constructors** : list, ref

The constructors `unit`, `bool` and `list` are fully defined by the following assumed declaration:

```plaintext
infixr 30 ::
type unit = data () and bool = data true | false
and ref 'a list = data nil | op :: of 'a * 'a list
```

The word "unit" is chosen since the type contains just one value; this is why it is preferred to the word "void" of ALGOL 68. Note that it is also (up to isomorphism) a unit for type tupling, though we do not exploit this isomorphism by allowing a coercion between the types `ty` and `ty \ast unit`.

The type constants `int`, `real` and `string` are equipped with special constants as described in Section 2.3. The type constructor `ref` is for constructing reference types; see Section 7.

5.2 Standard functions and constants

All standard functions and constants are listed in Appendix 3. There is not a lavish number; we envisage function libraries provided by each implementation, together with the equivalent ML declaration of each function (though the implementation may be more efficient). In time, some such library functions may accrue to the standard; a likely candidate for this is a group of array-handling functions, grouped in a standard declaration of the unary type constructor "array".

Most of the standard functions and constants are familiar, so we need mention only a few critical points:

1. `explode` yields a list of strings of size 1; `implode` is iterated string concatenation \( (\cdot) \). `ord` yields the ASCII code number of the first character of a string; `chr` yields the ASCII character (as a string of size 1) corresponding to an integer.

2. `ref` is a monomorphic function, but in patterns it may be used polymorphically, with type `a \rightarrow \! a\ \text{ref}`.
(3) The character functions ord and chr, the arithmetic operators *, /, div,
mod, + and -, and the standard functions floor, sqrt, exp and ln may
raise standard exceptions (see Section 5.3) whose identifier in each case
is the same as that of the function. This occurs for ord when the string
is empty; for chr when the character is undefined; and for the others
when the result is undefined or out of range.

(4) The value \( r = a \mod d \) satisfies \( 0 \leq r < d \), and the value
\( q = a \div d \) satisfies \( d \cdot q + r = a \). The result of arctan lies
between \( \pm \pi/2 \), and \( \ln \) (the inverse of \( \exp \)) is the natural logarithm.
The value floor(\( x \)) is the largest integer \( \leq x \); thus rounding may be done
by floor(\( x + 0.5 \)).

(5) Two multi-typed functions are included as quick debugging aids. The
function print :ty->ty is an identity function, which as a side-effect
prints its argument exactly as it would be printed at top-level. The
printing caused by "print(exp)" will depend upon the type ascribed to
this particular occurrence of \( \exp \); thus print is not a normal
polymorphic function. The function makestring :ty->string is similar,
but instead of printing it returns as a string what print would produce
on the screen.

5.3 Standard exceptions

All predeclared exception identifiers are of type unit. There are three
special ones: match, bind and break; these exceptions are raised on failure of
matching or binding as explained in Sections 3.4 and 3.6, and on depressing the
BREAK key. Note, however, that match and bind exceptions cannot occur unless
the compiler has given a warning, as detailed in Section 10(2),(3), except in
the case of a top-level declaration as indicated in 10(3).

The only other predeclared exception identifiers are

\[
\text{ord chr } * / \text{ div mod } + - \text{ floor sqrt exp ln}
\]

These are the identifiers of standard functions which are ill-defined or out of
range for certain arguments, as detailed in Section 5.2. For example, using the
derived handle form explained in Section 8.2, the expression

\[
3 \text{ div } x \text{ handle div } =\ 10000
\]

will return 10000 when \( x = 0 \).
6. Standard Derived Forms

6.1 Expressions and Patterns

**DERIVED FORM**

**Expressions:**

```
raise exid
case exp of match
  if exp then exp1 else exp2
  exp orelse exp'
  exp andalso exp'
  exp ; exp'
  while exp do exp'
[ exp1, ..., expn ]
```

**EQUIVALENT FORM**

```
raise exid with ()
(fun match) exp
(case exp of true=>exp1 | false=>exp2
  if exp then true else exp'
  if exp then exp' else false
  case exp of (_) => exp'
  let val rec f = fun () =>
    if exp then (exp'; f()) else ()
  in f() end
exp1:: ...:expn::nil (n≥0)
```

**Handling rules:**

```
exid => exp
```

```
exid with (_) => exp
```

**Patterns:**

```
[ pat1, ..., patn ]
```

```
pat1:: ...:patn::nil (n≥0)
```

The derived form may be implemented more efficiently than its equivalent form, but must be precisely equivalent to it semantically. The type-checking of each derived form is also defined by that of its equivalent form.

The binding power of all bare and derived forms is shown in Appendix 1. A semicolon, whether used in declaration sequencing or in expression sequencing, always has weakest binding power; also a semicolon always terminates a declaration where this is syntactically possible (thus expression sequencing may need to be parenthesised).

The shortened `raise` form is only admissible with exceptions of type unit. The shortened form of handling rule is appropriate whenever the excepted value is immaterial, and is therefore (in the full form) matched to the wildcard pattern.
6.2 Bindings and Declarations

**Value bindings:**

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{var } & \text{apat}_1 \ldots \text{apat}_n \{ : \text{ty} \} = \text{exp}_1 \\
| & \ldots \\
| \text{var } & \text{apat}_m \ldots \text{apat}_m \{ : \text{ty} \} = \text{exp}_m
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{var } & = \text{fun } x_1 = > \ldots \text{fun } x_n = > \\
| & \text{case } (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \\
| \text{of } & \text{apat}_1, \ldots, \text{apat}_n = > \text{exp}_1 \{ : \text{ty} \} \\
| & \ldots \\
| \text{apat}_m, \ldots, \text{apat}_m = > \text{exp}_m \{ : \text{ty} \}
\end{align*}
\]

\{ where the xi are new, and m, n \geq 1 \}

**Declarations:**

\[
\text{exp}
\]

\[
\text{val it } = \text{exp}
\]

The derived value binding allows function definitions, possibly Curried, with several clauses. The derived declaration is only allowed at top-level, for treating top-level expressions as degenerate declarations; "it" is just a normal value variable.
7. References and equality

7.1 References and assignment

Following Cardelli, references are provided by the type constructor "ref". Since we are sticking to monomorphic references, there are two overloaded functions available at all monotypes mty:

1. ref : mty -> mty ref, which associates (in the store) a new reference with its argument value. "ref" is a constructor, and may be used polymorphically in patterns, with type 'a -> 'a ref.

2. op := : mty ref * mty -> unit, which associates its first (reference) argument with its second (value) argument in the store, and returns () as result.

The polymorphic contents function "!" is provided, and is equivalent to the declaration "val !(ref x) = x".

7.2 Equality

The overloaded equality function op = : ety * ety -> bool is available at all type ety which admit equality, according to the definition below. The effect of this definition is that equality will only be applied to values which are built up from references (to arbitrary values) by value constructors, including of course constant values. On references, equality means identity; on objects of other types ety, it is defined recursively in the natural way.

The types ety which admit equality are therefore defined as follows:

1. A type ty admits equality iff it is built from arbitrary reference types by type constructors which admit equality.

2. The standard type constructors *n, unit, bool, int, real, string and list all admit equality.

Thus for example, the type (int * 'a ref)list admits equality, but (int * 'a)list and (int -> bool)list do not.

A user-defined type constructor tycoon, declared by a type binding tb whose bare form is

{tyvar_seq} tycoon = con1 (of ty1) | ... | conn (of tyn)
/admits equality within its scope (but, if declared by abstype, only within the with part of its declaration) iff it satisfies the following condition:

3. Each construction type tyi in this binding is built from arbitrary reference types and type variables, either by type constructors which already admit equality or (if tb is within a rec) by tycoon or any other type constructor declared by mutual recursion with tycoon, provided these other type constructors also satisfy the present condition.

The first paragraph of this section should be enough for an intuitive understanding of the types which admit equality, but the precise definition is given in a form which is readily incorporated in the type-checking mechanism.
8. Exceptions

8.1 Discussion

Some discussion of the exception mechanism is needed, as it goes a little beyond what exists in other functional languages. It was proposed by Alan Mycroft, as a means to gain the convenience of dynamic exception trapping without risking violation of the type discipline (and indeed still allowing polymorphic exception-raising expressions). Brian Monahan put forward a similar idea. Don Sannella also contributed, particularly to the nature of the derived forms (Section 8.2); these forms give a pleasant way of treating standard exceptions, as explained in Section 5.3.

The rough and ready rule for understanding how exceptions are handled is as follows. If an exception is raised by a raise expression

raise exid with exp

which lies in the textual scope of a declaration of the exception identifier exid, then it may be handled by a handling rule

exid with match

in a handler, but only if this handler is in the textual scope of the same declaration. Otherwise it may only be caught by the universal handling rule

? exp'

This rule is perfectly adequate for exceptions declared at top level; some examples in Section 8.4 below illustrate what may occur in other cases.

8.2 Derived forms

A handler discriminates among exception packets in two ways. First, it handles just those packets (e,v) for which e is the exception bound to the exception identifier in one of its handling rules; second, the match in this rule may discriminate upon v, the excepted value. Note however that, if a universal handling rule "? exp'" is activated, then all packets are handled without discrimination.

A case which is likely to be frequent is when discrimination is required upon the exception, but not upon the excepted value; in this case, the derived handling rule

exid => exp'

is appropriate for handling. Further, exceptions of type unit may be raised by the shortened form

raise exid

since the only possible excepted value is ()
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8.3 An example

To illustrate the generality of exception handling, suppose that we have declared some exceptions as follows:

```ml
exception oddlist :int list and oddstring :string
```

and that a certain expression `exp:int` may raise either of these exceptions and also runs the risk of dividing by zero. The handler in the following `handle` expression would deal with these exceptions:

```ml
exp handle oddlist with [] => 0 |
   [x] => 2*x |
   x::y::_ => x div y |
|| oddstring with "" => 0 |
   | s => size(s)-1 |
|| div => 10000
```

Note that the whole expression is well-typed because in each handling rule the type of each match-pattern is the same as the exception type, and because the result type of each match is `int`, the same as the type of `exp`. The last handling rule is the shortened form appropriate for exceptions of type `unit`.

Note also that the last handling rule will handle `div` exceptions raised by `exp`, but will not handle the `div` exception which may be raised by "x div y" within the first handling rule. Finally, note that a universal handling rule

```ml
|| ? 50000
```

at the end would deal with all other exceptions raised by `exp`.

8.4 Some pathological examples

We now consider some possible misuses of exception handling, which may arise from the fact that exception declarations have scope, and that each evaluation of a generative exception binding creates a distinct exception. Consider a simple example:

```ml
exception exid : bool;
val f(x) =
  let exception exid:int in
    if x > 100 then raise exid with x else x+1
  end;

f(200) handle exid with true=>500 | false=>1000;
```

The program is well-typed, but useless. The exception bound to the outer `exid` is distinct from that bound to the inner `exid`; thus the exception raised by `f(200)`, with excepted value 200, could only be handled by a handler within the scope of the inner exception declaration - it will not be handled by the handler in the program, which expects a boolean value. So this exception will just explode at top level. This would apply even if the outer exception declaration were also of type `int`; the two exceptions bound to `exid` would still be distinct.

On the other hand, if the last line of the program is changed to

```ml
f(200) handle ? 500 ;
```
then the exception will be caught, and the value 500 returned. A universal handling rule (i.e. containing "?") catches any exception packet, even one exported from the scope of the declaration of the associated exception identifier, but cannot examine the excepted value in the packet, since the type of this value cannot be statically determined.

Even a single textual exception binding - if for example it is declared within a recursively defined function - may bind distinct exceptions to the same identifier. Consider another useless program:

```plaintext
val rec f(x) = let exception exid in
    if p(x) then a(x) else
    if q(x) then f(b(x)) handle exid with c(x)
        else raise exid with d(x) end;
f(v);
```

Now if \( p(v) \) is false but \( q(v) \) is true, the recursive call will evaluate \( f(b(v)) \). Then, if both \( p(b(v)) \) and \( q(b(v)) \) are false, this evaluation will raise an exid exception with excepted value \( d(b(v)) \). But this packet will not be handled, since the exception of the packet is that which is bound to \( exid \) by the inner - not outer - evaluation of the exception declaration.

These pathological examples should not leave the impression that exceptions are hard to use or to understand. The rough and ready rule of Section 8.1 will almost always give the correct understanding.
9. Type-checking

The type-checking discipline is exactly as in original ML, and therefore need only be described with respect to new phrases.

In a match "pat1=>exp1 | ... | patn=>expn", the types of all pati must be the same (ty say), and if variable var occurs in pati then all free occurrences of var in expi must have the same type as its occurrence in pati. In addition, the types of all the expi must be the same (ty' say). Then ty->ty' is the type of the match. The type of "fun match" is the type of the match.

The type of a handler rule "exid with match" is ty', where exid has type ty and match has type ty->ty'. The type of a universal handling rule "? exp" is the type of exp. The type of a handler is the type of all its handling rules (which must therefore be the same), and the type of "exp handle handler" is that of both exp and handler. The type of "raise exid with exp" is arbitrary, but exp and exid must have the same type. The type of an exception may be polymorphic; any exid is required to have the same type at all occurrences within the scope of its declaration (and this must be an instance of any type qualifying the declaration).

A type variable is only explicitly bound (in the sense of variable-binding in lambda-calculus) by its occurrence in the tyvar_seq on the left hand side of a simple type binding "{tyvar_seq} tycon = ..", and then its scope is the right hand side. (This means for example that bound uses of 'a in both tb1 and tb2 in the type binding "tb1 and tb2" bear no relation to each other.) Otherwise, repeated occurrences of a (free) type variable may serve to link explicit type constraints. The scope of such a type variable is the top-level declaration or expression in which it occurs.

The first form of simple type binding "{tyvar_seq} tycon = data .." is generative, since a new unique type constructor (denoted by tycon) is created by each textual occurrence of such a binding. The second form "{tyvar_seq} tycon = ty", on the other hand, is non-generative; to take an example, the type binding "'a couple = 'a * 'a" merely allows the type expression "ty couple" to abbreviate "ty * ty" (for any ty) within its scope. There is no semantic significance in abbreviation; in the Core language it is purely for brevity, though in the proposed extension of ML to contain Modules non-generative type-bindings are likely to be essential in matching types or Signatures. However, the type-checker should take some advantage of non-local type abbreviations in reporting types at top-level; in doing this, it may need to choose sensibly between different possible abbreviations for the same type.

Some standard function symbols (e.g. =,+ ) stand for functions of more than one type; in these cases the type-checker should complain if it cannot determine from the context which is intended (an explicit type constraint may be needed). Note that there is no implicit coercion in ML, in particular from int to real; the conversion function real:int->real must be used in cases where coercion is needed.

The type-checker refers to the type environment (TE) component of the environment, and records its findings there. Details of TE are not given in this report; they are compatible with what is done in current ML implementations, except that value constructors (and their types) are associated with the type constructors to which they belong.
10. **Syntactic restrictions**

(1) No pattern may contain two occurrences of the same variable.

(2) In a match "pat1->exp1 | ... | patn->expn", the pattern sequence pat1, ..., patn should be **irredundant** and **exhaustive**. That is, each patj must match some value (of the right type) which is not matched by pati for any i<j, and every value (of the right type) must be matched by some pati. The compiler must give warning on violation of this restriction, but should still compile the match. Thus the "match" exception (see Section 3.4) will only be raised for a match which has been flagged by the compiler. The restriction is inherited by derived forms; in particular, this means that in the Curried function binding "var apat1 ... apatn [:ty] = exp" (consisting of one clause only), each separate apati should be exhaustive by itself.

(3) For each value binding "pat = exp" the compiler must issue a report (but still compile) if either pat is not exhaustive or pat contains no variable. This will (on both counts) detect a mistaken declaration like "val nil = exp" in which the user expects to declare a new variable nil (whereas the language dictates that nil is here a constant pattern, so no variable gets declared). Cardelli points out this danger.

However, these warnings should not be given when the binding is a component of a top-level declaration val vb ; e.g. "val x::l = exp1 and y = exp2" is not faulted by the compiler at top level, but may of course generate a "bind" exception (see Section 3.6).

(4) For each value binding "pat = exp" within rec, exp must be of the form "fun match". (The derived form of value binding given in Section 6.2 necessarily obeys this restriction.)

(5) In the left hand side "{tyvar_seq} tycon" of a simple type binding, the tyvar_seq must contain no type variable more than once. The right hand side of a simple type binding may contain only the type variables mentioned on the left.

(6) In "let dec in exp end" and "local dec in dec' end" no type constructor exported by dec may occur in the type of exp or in the type of any variable or value constructor exported by dec'. A non-generative type binding (i.e. an abbreviation) may not be qualified by rec.

(7) Every global **exception** binding - that is, not localised either by let or by local - must be explicitly constrained by a monotype.

(8) If a type constructor tycon' is declared within the scope of a type constructor tycon, then (a) if tycon and tycon' are **distinct** identifiers, then their value constructors must be disjoint; (b) if tycon and tycon' are the **same** identifier, then the value constructors of the outer declaration are not accessible in the scope of the inner declaration, whether or not the inner and outer declarations employ the same identifier(s) as a value constructor(s). These constraints ensure that the scope of a type constructor is identical with the scopes of its associated value constructors.
11. Conclusion

This design has been under discussion for over a year, and the designers are confident in their understanding of it. However, it is only by extensive practice that a language is properly evaluated; there are probably a few infelicities of design from the practical point of view, and we expect these to emerge during the next year or so in the course of experience with implementation and use.

It would be reasonable after such a period to collect reactions and to publish a list of corrections - just those which can be agreed among several seriously concerned implementers and users.

Besides these corrections there will clearly be extensions - design ideas which use the present language as a platform. It will be important to keep these two developments separate as far as possible. Corrections should be few and preferably done at most once; extensions may be many, but need not impair the identity of the present language.
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APPENDIX 1

SYNTAX : EXPRESSIONS and PATTERNS
(See Section 2.8 for conventions)

aexp ::= {on} var {on} con [ exp1 , .. , expn ] ( exp )
       (variable) (constructor) (list, n≥0)

exp ::= aexp exp aexp exp : ty exp id exp' exp andalso exp' exp orelse exp'
       (atomic) L(application) L(constraint) (infixed application) (conjunction)
       exp1 , .. , expn raise exid {with exp} if exp then exp1 else exp2
       (disjunction) (raise exception) (conditional)
       while exp do exp' let dec in exp end while exp do exp'
       (iteration) (local declaration) (sequence)
       case exp of match case exp of match
       exp handle handler exp ; exp'
       (function) (handle exception) (sequence)

match ::= rule1 | .. | rulen (n≥1)
rule ::= pat => exp

handler ::= hrule1 | .. | hrulen (n≥1)
hrule ::= exid with match exid => exp
         ? exp

apat ::= {on} var con [ pat1 , .. , patn ] pat
       (variable) (constant) (list, n≥0)
       (pat )

pat ::= apat {on} con apat pat : ty pat con pat'
      (atomic) L(construction) L(constraint)
      var { : ty } as pat pat1 , .. , patn (infixed construction) (layered)
      (tuple, n≥2)

The syntax of types binds more tightly than that of expressions, so type
constraints should be parenthesised if not followed by a reserved word.

Each iterated construct (tuple, match, ..) extends as far right as possible;
thus e.g. a match within a match may need to be parenthesised.
APPENDIX 2

SYNTAX : TYPES, BINDINGS, DECLARATIONS and PROGRAMS
(See Section 2.8 for conventions)

ty ::= tyvar
    {ty_seq} tycon
    ty1 * ... * tyn
    ty1 -> ty2

        (type variable)
        (type construction)
        (tuple type, n≥2)
        (function type)

vb ::= pat = exp
    {op} var apat11 ... apat1n [:ty] = exp1
    | ...
    | {op} var apatm1 ... apatmn [:ty] = expn
    vb1 and ... and vbn
    rec vb

        (simple)
        (clausal function) **
        (m, n≥1)
        (multiple, n≥2)
        (recursive)

tb ::= {tyvar_seq} tycon = data constrs
    {tyvar_seq} tycon = ty
    tb1 and ... and tbn
    rec tb

        (simple)
        (simple)
        (multiple, n≥2)
        (recursive)

constrs ::= con1 {of ty1} | ... | conn {of tyn}

        (n≥1)

eb ::= exid [:ty] { = exid'}
    eb1 and ... and ebn

        (simple)
        (multiple, n≥2)

dec ::= val vb
    type tb
    abstype tb with dec and
    exception eb
    local dec in dec' and
    exp
    dir
    dec1 {...} ... decn {...}

        (value declaration)
        (type declaration)
        (abstract type declaration)
        (exception declaration)
        (local declaration)
        (top-level only)
        (directive)
        (declaration sequence, n≥0)

dir ::= infixed [p] id1 .. idn
    nonfixed id1 .. idn

        (declare infix status, p≥0)
        (cancel infix status)

PROGRAMS: dec1 ; .. decn ;

** If var has infix status then op is required in this form; alternatively var may be infixed in any clause. Thus at the start of any clause:

    op var (apat, apat') may be written: (apat var apat')

where the parentheses may also be dropped if "=" follows immediately.
APPENDIX 3

PREDECLARED VARIABLES and CONSTRUCTORS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>nonfix</th>
<th>infix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>nil : 'a list</td>
<td>Precedence 50:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>map : ('a-&gt;'b) -&gt; 'a list</td>
<td>/ : real * real -&gt; real</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-&gt; 'b list</td>
<td>div : int * int -&gt; int</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rev : 'a list -&gt; 'a list</td>
<td>mod : &quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>true,false : bool</td>
<td>* : num * num -&gt; num</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>not : bool -&gt; bool</td>
<td>Precedence 40:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- : num -&gt; num</td>
<td>+ : &quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>abs : num -&gt; num</td>
<td>- : &quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>floor : real -&gt; int</td>
<td>^ : string * string -&gt; string</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>real : int -&gt; real</td>
<td>Precedence 30:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sqrt : real -&gt; real</td>
<td>:: : 'a * 'a list -&gt; 'a list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sin,cos,arctan : real -&gt; real</td>
<td>@ : 'a list * 'a list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exp,ln : real -&gt; real</td>
<td>-&gt; 'a list</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>size : string -&gt; int</td>
<td>Precedence 20:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>chr : int -&gt; string</td>
<td>= : ety * ety -&gt; bool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ord : string -&gt; int</td>
<td>&lt;&gt; : &quot; &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>explode : string -&gt; string list</td>
<td>&lt; : num * num -&gt; bool</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>implode : string list -&gt; string</td>
<td>&gt; : &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ref : mty -&gt; mty ref</td>
<td>&lt;= : &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>! : 'a ref -&gt; 'a</td>
<td>&gt;= : &quot; &quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precedence 10:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o : ('b-&gt;'c) * ('a-&gt;'b) -&gt; ('a-&gt;'c)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>print : ty -&gt; ty</td>
<td>:= : mty ref * mty -&gt; unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>makestring : ty -&gt; string</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Special constants: as in Section 2.3.

Notes:

(1) The following are constructors, and thus may appear in patterns:

    nil true false ref :: .. and all special constants.

(2) num stands for either int or real (the same in each type). Similarly, ty stands for an arbitrary type, mty stands for any monotype, and ety (as explained in Section 7.2) stands for any type admitting equality.

(3) Infixes of higher precedence bind tighter. "::" associates to the right; otherwise infixes of equal precedence associate to the left.

(4) The meanings of these predeclared bindings are discussed in Section 5.2.